

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,169	• • • •	09/12/2003		Drow Lionel O'Young	A-71202/MSS	7518	
32940	7590	07/29/2005	5	EXAMINER			
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP					KUNEMUN	KUNEMUND, ROBERT M	
555 CALIFO SUITE 1000		STREET, SUIT	E 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		, CA 94104		•	1722		

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/661,169	O'YOUNG ET AL					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Robert M. Kunemund	1722					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	A <u>pril 2005</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	*						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>16-27</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
_8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage					
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.					
Attachment			· (DTO 440)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

lec

*

Application/Control Number: 10/661,169

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Group II in the reply filed on April 22, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1 to 15 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 22, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/661,169

Art Unit: 1722

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 16 to 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Konrad et al (4,107,218).

The Konrad et al reference teaches an apparatus for the production of crystals. The first unit is a reactor to create bisphenol-A in solvent. The outlet stream from the reactor is feed to a separator unit. The separator unit removes phenol from the stream. The outlet stream is then fed to a crystallizer where the crystals are formed and removed form the solvent. The solvent is then recycled to the reactor, note figures and col. 3. The sole difference between the instant claims and the prior art is the specific product. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable crystallizer conditions in the Konrad et al reference in order to create a purer product.

Claims 25 to 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Konrad et al (4,107,218).

The Konrad et al reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the separate removal units. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable apparatus units to remove just phenol and then another unit to separate in the Konrad et al reference in order to changes the stream prior to the crystallizer increasing control over the product.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,169

Art Unit: 1722

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ben Utech can be reached on 571-272-1137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMK